

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

www.usptu.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,259	02/02/2004	Kikuzo Okada	TAP.P0007	9594
Edward G. Gre	7590 04/23/200 ive	EXAMINER		
•	r, Greive, Bobak, Tayo	CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA		
Fourth Floor First National T	Cower	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Akron, OH 443	08-1456	1615		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/770,259	OKADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615			
Period for Reply	-pp				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ 1	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		plication No			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	•	•			
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-4, drawn to a composition, classified in various classes such as 424, 530 or 514 and various sub-classes, depending on the utility of the composition.
- II. Claims 5-15, drawn to an enclosure for an external chemical composition, classified in class 53, subclass 370.3 or 424/449.
- III. Claim 16, drawn to a method of correcting a deformed nail, classified in class 424, subclass 61.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the subcombination (in this case the claimed reducing agent such as cysteine, thioglycolic acid or thioglycolate) can be used alone without the enclosure claimed i.e., as a lotion or cream or solution. The subcombination has separate utility such as a hair coloring treatment or as an amino acid (cysteine) nutritional composition or an antioxidant, in addition to the nail treatment.

Page 3

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions III and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the composition or the product of group I can be used for hair coloring treatment or as an amino acid (cysteine) nutritional composition or an antioxidant, in addition to the nail treatment.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation and different effects because the claimed enclosure does not necessarily require the steps of application recited in group

Art Unit: 1615

III. Further, the composition of the enclosure of Group II may be used for a hair treatment, as a nutritional composition or stabilizing agent that is different from the method of group III.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the instant case, the above groups have been classified into separate classes and a search for one group does not necessarily uncover art for other groups.

Therefore, the restriction is proper.

If applicants choose to elect group II, then they are further required to elect a single species from the following:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- 1. An enclosure of claim 5 having a body having an external composition selected from cysteine, thioglycolic acid and thioglycolate
- 2. An enclosure of claim 5 having a body having an external composition that is other than cysteine, thioglycolic acid or thioglycolate.

The species are independent or distinct because an external composition comprising the said cysteine, thioglycolic acid or thioglycolate is different from the compostion that does not contain any or all of the above components.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 5 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Edward Greive on 4-17-07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made and a written restriction was requested.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/770,259 Page 7

Art Unit: 1615

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S. Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.00 AM -4.00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AU 1615 4-17-07

> LAKSHMI S. CHANNAVAJJALA PRIMARY EXAMINER